

TRANSCRIBED COMMENT SHEETS PUBLIC MEETING FEBRUARY 7, 2011

Please indicate your opinion regarding the following statements by marking the appropriate box.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1. Tonight's meeting was informative and helped me understand the proposed improvements for the area	8	10	2		
2. The vision and big ideas for the area are ideal.	6	8	3	1	
3. The design concepts recommended for <i>Lower Broadway</i> are ideal.	5	6	9	2	
4. The design concepts recommended for <i>Upper Broadway</i> are ideal.	6	6	8	1	
5.The design concepts recommended for <i>River Josephine</i> are ideal.	7	7	6		
6. The design concepts recommended for <i>North St. Mary's Street</i> are ideal.	8	7	7	1	
7. Connections among the various activity centers in the area are made with this plan.	5	8	6	2	
8. I support the overall direction the plan intends to provide for the area.	9	7	4	1	

Additional Comments (verbatim as written)

- 1) I want the time frame of construction on Hildebrand and Broadway. I live on Groveland Place and traffic is a nightmare, except on Sunday morning. 2) Will there be more art galleries? 3) Please email me at RCH7518@yahoo.com
- I'm very glad to see the planning group embraced low impact/sustainable infrastructure and design to increase water quality. I also love the boulevard features, back-end parking, and mixed-use

- features. I can't wait until residential construction begins. I want to live in the plan area and my teenaged daughter wishes it could happen now!
- Comments in "not sure" are because I need to consider what it means to me as a resident.
- Have you considered the City rezoning this area? Rather than requiring property owners to do so.
 Will the prepared COSA drainage project along Broadway/Hildebrand take the 23 of the 45 developable areas out of the floodplain? Don't take away and Brackenridge Park land for parking!
- Retain existing residential characteristics of Government Hill neighborhood. Recognize and
 use/reuse historic structures and do not dwarf with more dense infill. Provide walkable/bikeable
 opportunities along entire corridor. Focus on future public transportation as much as current
 SOVS. Harness unique characteristics of corridor and connecting streets not cookie cutter. Keep it
 uniquely San Antonio with emphasis on military past and present. Mixed use transition still
 include single family as exists today.
- What accommodations are in the plan to protect, preserve Historic structures. Ex. Pig Stand
 Walking connection of Government Hill to Pearl area. Neighborhood commercial & C2 lower
 urban core.
- Parking will be metered parking or free? I can't envision 4-6 story buildings along lower Broadway! It would create a tunnel effect. Reuse! Don't tear down.
- Would like to see greater emphasis on mass transit (light rail). Would like to see connection of Riverwalk between Pearl and Brack Golf (under 281) improved.
- Thank you for the meeting. I will go to the website and read up.
- You keep speaking of public parking: need clear up if it is metered/paid/private owned for public parking: I think you are trying push new buildings vs. keeping existing old charters of what makes S.A. different the Austin, Dallas, and Houston.
- I appreciated the highlighting of the better connections of Ft. Sam to Broadway via commercial areas vs. using residential areas (i.e. Cuningham). When looking at thee conceptual ideas for the roundabout at Mahncke Park-I'm slightly concerned about losing green space to the roundabout. This seems as this might pull traffic from Broadway closer to Lamar Elem.
- Please provide future presenters with current traffic information and projected traffic counts of
 Broadway from Hildebrand to Travis. Please provide real assessments of 2 lane north and south
 traffic. Do not buy Irby Hightowers assessment of traffic flow. Very pie in the sky traffic
 assessment was presented. In my opinion, it was highly fallacious and there were no current or
 future traffic impact studies presented. Caryn Hasslocher, Property Owner.